

Who	Road / Ward	Object / Support	Contents	Officer Comments/Recommendations
Resident	Goldsmid	Support	<u>Proposed Motorcycle Bay in Granville Road</u> - Give full support for the plans to go ahead	
Resident	Hangleton & Knoll	Support	<u>Double Yellow Lines in Wayfield Avenue</u> - Support the proposal for double yellow lines.	
Resident	Hangleton & Knoll	Support	<u>Double Yellow Lines in Wayfield Avenue</u> - Welcome the double yellow lines as it will improve safety.	
Resident	Hollingdean & Stanmer	Support	<u>Double Yellow lines in Crespin Way</u> - Support the proposal.	
Resident	Hollingdean & Stanmer	Support	<u>Proposed Extension to Double yellow lines in Upper Hollingdean Road</u> - Support the proposal.	
Resident	Hove Park	Support	<u>Limited Waiting in Amherst Crescent</u> - The 2 hour limited parking bays will be most helpful enabling resident's access in and out of their properties.	
Resident	Hove Park	Support	<u>Extension of Double Yellow Lines in Dyke Road at the Junction with Hove Park Road</u> - Completely endorse the proposed parking restriction changes.	

Item 46 Appendix A

Resident	Hove Park	Object	<p><u>Extension of Double Yellow Lines in Dyke Road at the Junction with Hove Park Road</u> - Object as the proposed extension is not nearly long enough. It should extend as far as the nearby bus stop road marking.</p>	<p><u>Double yellow lines</u> – These were requested by a resident as turning right onto Dyke Road from Hove Park Road it is very difficult to see oncoming traffic. There are double yellow lines on this corner but vehicles are parking between where they end and the first driveway starts. This makes visibility very difficult and therefore dangerous at this busy junction.</p>
Resident	Moulsecomb & Bevendean	Object	<p><u>Double yellow lines in Upper Bevendean Avenue</u> - Have discussed the matter with nearly all of the residents of Upper Bevendean Avenue. At least 80% are opposed to any double yellow lines here, a few thought putting them on one side of the road might be a good idea. All the residents were against the lines being placed on both sides of the road. Understand that the lines are proposed because of a few incidents where a car had parked in such a way that the larger buses could not get through. Spoke to the policeman at the time and told him that when it had happened before that it was in the same place. Fear he took the comment too literally, and that is why the lines are planned in one specific place, had meant that it happens in the same general area around the middle of Upper Bevendean Avenue. It is rare for such a problem to happen, because the local residents know that this is a bus route, park accordingly and the person that parked causing the problem was a visitor and</p>	<p><u>Double yellow lines</u> – These were requested by a PCSO in the area as there were a number of complaints from residents whereby the design of the road is affecting buses, especially when cars are parked in this location, which in turn causes a disruption to the flow of traffic.</p>

			<p>was obviously unaware that buses used this route. None of the local residents recognised the car at the time. Some small signs on the lamp posts saying "BUS ROUTE - PLEASE PARK CONSIDERATELY" may help. Think that added yellow lines would most likely just move such situations to another part of the road, and with less parking spaces available, make a repeat of this even more likely to happen as people struggle to find a space.</p>	
Resident	Moulsecomb & Bevendean	Object	<p><u>Double yellow lines in Upper Bevendean Avenue</u> – Have noticed that double yellow lines are being planned in Upper Bevendean Avenue. If this is the case is there a plan to convert the top part of the green into a car park, so that residents will have somewhere to park. If not, are cars expected to park on the grass verge. If lines are put in this will mean that residents may not be able to park. Why not build a road down from Falmer Road through Bevendean Farm. Then the bus could go out to the University and come back down through Bevendean Estate, this would also benefit emergency services as there would then be an alternative way into Bevendean should the bottom road be blocked.</p>	<p><u>Double yellow lines</u> – This was requested by a PCSO in the area as there were a number of complaints from residents whereby the design of the road is affecting buses, especially when cars are parked in this location, which in turn causes a disruption to the flow of traffic.</p>
Resident	Moulsecomb & Bevendean	Object	<p><u>Double yellow lines in Upper Bevendean Avenue</u> - Strong objection to the proposed double yellow lines in Upper Bevendean Avenue. There are already very few parking spaces, and reducing the number is likely to cause more bad parking in other parts of the road. This will create more congestion to buses and emergency vehicles. All the residents' attention has been drawn to this problem, and great care will be taken to avoid obstruction in future.</p>	<p><u>Double yellow lines</u> – This was requested by a PCSO in the area as there were a number of complaints from residents whereby the design of the road is affecting buses, especially when cars are parked in this location, which in turn causes a disruption to the flow</p>

Item 46 Appendix A

			Please put this plan on hold for a year to give residents and visitors the chance to show that obstructions can be avoided.	of traffic.
Resident	Moulsecoomb & Bevendean	Object	<u>Double yellow lines in Upper Bevendean Avenue</u> - Aware of the proposal to install double yellow lines. This would cause vehicle owners to park badly elsewhere in the Avenue thus causing more congestion.	<u>Double yellow lines</u> – This was requested by a PCSO in the area as there were a number of complaints from residents whereby the design of the road is affecting buses, especially when cars are parked in this location, which in turn causes a disruption to the flow of traffic.
Resident	Moulsecoomb & Bevendean	Object	<u>Double yellow lines in Upper Bevendean Avenue</u> - Support yellow lines in Upper Bevendean Avenue but not in the proposed position. Double yellow lines would be better placed all the way up Upper Bevendean Avenue (on the right hand side). Believe this would be better then the proposal, which would not solve the problem but possibly still allow the same problem to occur.	<u>Double yellow lines</u> – This was requested by a PCSO in the area as there were a number of complaints from residents whereby the design of the road is affecting buses, especially when cars are parked in this location, which in turn causes a disruption to the flow of traffic.
Resident	Moulsecoomb & Bevendean	Comment	<u>Double yellow lines in Upper Bevendean Avenue</u> - If this proposal does go ahead would you consider also putting notices up not to park on the grass verge. The buses do have a job getting by when some people just don't know how to park. Should just have parking one side of the road.	<u>Double yellow lines</u> – This was requested by a PCSO in the area as there were a number of complaints from residents whereby the design of the road is affecting buses, especially when cars are parked in this location, which in turn causes a disruption to the flow

				of traffic.
Resident	Moulsecoomb & Bevendean	Object	<u>Double yellow lines in Upper Bevendean Avenue</u> - Object to the proposed double yellow lines, as spaces are already in short supply and reducing them will just force people to park in other areas and cause even more problems than the existing issues.	<u>Double yellow lines</u> – This was requested by a PCSO in the area as there were a number of complaints from residents whereby the design of the road is affecting buses, especially when cars are parked in this location, which in turn causes a disruption to the flow of traffic.
Resident	Moulsecoomb & Bevendean	Object	<u>Double yellow lines in Upper Bevendean Avenue</u> - Strongly object to the proposed double yellow lines. There are very few parking places already and reducing the number is likely to cause more bad parking in other parts of the road. This will cause more blocking for buses and emergency vehicles. Resident’s attention has been drawn to this problem and great care will be taken to avoid an obstruction in the future, Please could this plan be put on hold for a year. Not only will this save money, but also give residents and visitors the chance to show that obstructions can be avoided.	<u>Double yellow lines</u> – This was requested by a PCSO in the area as there were a number of complaints from residents whereby the design of the road is affecting buses, especially when cars are parked in this location, which in turn causes a disruption to the flow of traffic.
Resident	Moulsecoomb & Bevendean	Comment	<u>Double yellow lines in Upper Bevendean Avenue</u> - Aware that there is a big problem in the road as it is a bus route and have selfish drivers who feel that it is their right to park outside their property at any cost. Concerned that with double yellow lines the offenders will just park on the grass.	<u>Double yellow lines</u> – This was requested by a PCSO in the area as there were a number of complaints from residents whereby the design of the road is affecting buses, especially when cars are parked in this location, which in

				turn causes a disruption to the flow of traffic.
Resident	Moulsecoomb & Bevendean	Object	<u>Double yellow lines in Upper Bevendean Avenue</u> -Object to the proposal. Parking is in short supply. If the proposal is allowed to go ahead concerned that people will park on the grass verges. Put the proposal on hold for a while and assess the situation.	<u>Double yellow lines</u> – This was requested by a PCSO in the area as there were a number of complaints from residents whereby the design of the road is affecting buses, especially when cars are parked in this location, which in turn causes a disruption to the flow of traffic.
Resident	Moulsecoomb & Bevendean	Object	<u>Double yellow lines in Upper Bevendean Avenue</u> - Object to the proposed double yellow lines. There is little enough parking on the estate for residents and visitors as it is. This would cause major problems with illegal parking on designated spots. It is ludicrous for the Council to think that double yellow lines anywhere on the estate are a good idea. Feel as a community that reducing the spaces currently available will more than likely cause more people to park badly in other parts of the one-way system and cause a problem where buses cannot get through. Aware this has been a problem and take great care not to park in a way that can cause problems. Also visitors are informed that this is a bus route, so they may park accordingly. Would ask at the very least that the proposals to paint the line are put on hold for another year so that residents may take the necessary provisions to ensure adequate parking in the street and reassess the situation then.	<u>Double yellow lines</u> – This was requested by a PCSO in the area as there were a number of complaints from residents whereby the design of the road is affecting buses, especially when cars are parked in this location, which in turn causes a disruption to the flow of traffic.

Resident	North Portslade	Support	<u>Double yellow lines in Sidehill Drive</u> - Support the proposed yellow lines to help identify the wheelchair access to the South Downs.	
Resident	North Portslade	Support	<u>Double yellow lines in Sidehill Drive</u> - Support the proposed yellow lines to disabled access to the playing field.	
Resident	Patcham	Support	<u>Double Yellow Lines in Eastwick Close</u> - Welcome the fact that double yellow lines are being considered in this Close.	
Resident	Patcham	Support	<u>Double Yellow Lines in Eastwick Close</u> - Agrees to the proposal for double yellow lines around the Close. Often experience difficulties accessing or leaving due to badly parked vehicles. On most days pavement parking means that children and other pedestrians have to walk in the road, which is very unsafe.	
Resident	Patcham	Support	<u>Double Yellow Lines in Eastwick Close</u> - Fully supporting the proposals for double yellow lines. The biggest problem is Patcham Nursing Home with their deliveries, unloading taxis and numbers of other vehicles.	
Resident	Patcham	Support	<u>Double Yellow Lines in Eastwick Close</u> - Consider the introduction of double yellow lines in Eastwick Close to be an extremely positive action from more then one perspective. At present concerned about the following health and safety issues arising from inconsiderate parking: 1) Parking on the pavement forcing pedestrians into the road. 2) Forcing vehicles to travel the wrong side of a narrow road thereby risking collision with vehicles. 3) Denying access to emergency service vehicles.	

Resident	Patcham	Support	<u>Double Yellow Lines in Eastwick Close</u> – 100% in favour of the double yellow lines for Eastwick Close. As a single road with a narrow pavement to one side only, feel these should have been in place well before now. It is probable that the nursing home will raise objections to the proposed restrictions because their visitors will find it inconvenient, but by their own actions they have limited their own parking facilities, bit by bit due to continuous expansion of their building. Fire engines would find it most difficult to reach their destination with some of the parking experienced here. Forced to walk on the road as vehicles obstruct the pavement.	
Resident	Patcham	Object	<u>Double Yellow Lines in Winfield Close</u> - At no time has there been a problem with the occasional parking of cars in the double turning bay and feel it would be a waste of Council money if the proposal to paint yellow lines on practically all of one side of the close were put in place.	<u>Double yellow lines</u> – This was requested by a resident as cars park in the turning area making it difficult to manoeuvre a vehicle especially emergency and city clean vehicles.
Resident	Patcham	Object	<u>Double Yellow Lines in Winfield Close</u> - Totally opposed to the line being provided. Visitors park in the parking bay in question, which is suitable for 2 cars. Overnight a car may park in this bay, this has happened about 20 times, the rest of the time the bay is 99% free.	<u>Double yellow lines</u> – This was requested by a resident as cars park in the turning area making it difficult to manoeuvre a vehicle especially emergency and city clean vehicles.
Resident	Patcham	Object	<u>Double Yellow Lines in Winfield Close</u> - Object to the double yellow lines proposed for Winfield Close. Aware it is a turning bay and people do park occasionally, but due to yellow lines put in about a year ago parking is already very restricted for people's visitors. Know of no problems	<u>Double yellow lines</u> – This was requested by a resident as cars park in the turning area making it difficult to manoeuvre a vehicle especially emergency and city

			caused by people parking in the bay when no other parking space is available.	clean vehicles.
Resident	Patcham	Support	<u>Double Yellow Lines in Winfield Close</u> - Very much in favour of yellow lines for the following reasons: - In the last 3 months an ambulance has been called to the close on 6 occasions. In all instances they have been unable to turn to exit as the bay has been blocked, and they have to reverse with great difficulty. This is not acceptable. On another occasion a fire engine was called to the close and had to park in the avenue below as it could not gain access. Fortunately this was not an emergency, but the Fire Officer did comment that the cars should not be parked in the bay.	
Resident	Patcham	Object	<u>Double yellow lines in Winfield Close</u> - Object to the double yellow lines proposed for Winfield Close. The lines would mean that any visitors/family/guests would have no where else to park. 99% of the time the area has no vehicles parked there and when there are vehicles there they are usually only there for a short period of time and can easily be asked to move.	<u>Double yellow lines</u> – This was requested by a resident as cars park in the turning area making it difficult to manoeuvre a vehicle especially emergency and city clean vehicles.
Resident	Preston	Object	<u>Loading Ban in Dyke Road</u> - Noticed a posting in the street regarding loading restrictions between 178 and 188 Dyke Road. At present it is double yellow lines with free loading. The plan is to place a blanket ban on loading. Appreciate that some restriction needs to be in place to stop parents abusing the provision when dropping off and collecting their children from Windlesham School. However, the facility of loading is essential to the residents, in particularly the elderly who rely on outside	<u>Loading Ban</u> – This was requested by the Road Safety Team to prevent obstruction to road and footpath at peak hours.

			help. Could the loading ban please be changed to restrict loading please. Would suggest no loading between 08:30 and 09:30 and again at 14:30 and 16:00 Monday to Friday during term time only.	
Resident	Preston Park	Object	<u>Car Club Bay in Lucerne Road</u> - Object to the proposal for the following reasons: 1) Everyone in this section of Lucerne Road already has a car and so has no use for a city car. 2) Already have a city car a few yards away in Chester Terrace, which is rarely used and cannot see the point in having another one.	
Resident	Preston Park	Object	<u>Car Club Bay in Rugby Road</u> - Object strongly for the following reasons. 1) Residents of Rugby Road have not been consulted on this matter or requested the bay. 2) Car Club facilities are normally located close to high density housing whereas this is an area of relatively low density housing. 3) Spaces in this area were reduced some years ago as the entrance into Rugby Road from Stanford Avenue was narrowed. 4) Parking in this area is for both residents in Rugby Road and Stanford Avenue. 5) Pressure on parking spaces in this area during the day has increased due to implementation of parking schemes in Dyke Road Drive and Preston Park Avenue where there are now large numbers of empty spaces during the controlled period. 6) Pressure on parking spaces in this area will increase considerably when the resident's parking scheme is implemented south of the railway line. On these grounds this proposal is highly inappropriate. It would be more appropriate in one of the two areas mentioned above where, as a result of the local	

			authority's actions, there are generally large numbers of vacant parking spaces.	
Resident	Preston Park	Object	<u>Car Club Bay in Rugby Road</u> - Strongly object to the proposed car club parking space in Rugby Road on the following grounds: 1) The Residents have not been consulted on this matter. A small sign at the bottom of the road stuck to a lamp post does not constitute a consultation. 2) Car club facilities are normally located close to high density housing. 3) Pressure on parking spaces in this area during the day has increased due to implementation of parking schemes in Dyke Road Drive and Preston Park Avenue where there are now large numbers of empty spaces during the controlled period. 4) Pressure on parking spaces in this area will increase considerably when the resident's parking scheme is implemented south of the railway line. On these grounds this proposal is highly inappropriate. It would be more appropriate in one of the two areas mentioned above where, as a result of the local authority's actions, there are generally large numbers of vacant parking spaces.	
Resident	Preston Park	Object	<u>Car Club Bay in Rugby Road</u> - Object to the proposed designation of car club bay on the following grounds: 1) The space is in a residential road with few multi occupancy properties. Residents of such properties make up the majority of car club users. It is highly likely that the number of car club users in Rugby Road is minimal which makes the proposed location inappropriate. 2) Pressure of car parking spaces in this area during the day has been considerably increased recently due to the	

			implementation of a residents parking scheme in Preston Park Avenue. 3) Pressure on car parking spaces in this area is expected to increase considerably if the CPZ south of the railway line goes ahead. The car club space should be located at the top end of the taxi rank in Stanford Avenue.	
Resident	Queens Park (Controlled Parking Zone C)	Object	<u>Car Club Bay in Charlotte Street</u> - Strongly object to the loss of yet another residents parking bay in Charlotte Street. There are already 2 disabled bays in Charlotte Street (one of which is of disproportionate length) which remain unused for much of the day, and taking a third bay for more part time use will impact on both resident's and visitor's ability to park. Have noted that the 'car club' bay recently introduced in Wyndham Street is little used. Surely the council can ensure a 'car club' vehicle has the ability to park in a residents bay without recourse to removing yet another bay from a very busy street. These 'car club' bays should be located further away from the already congested seafront and sited in pay and display bays where the parking is more transitory and not such an impact on residents' amenities.	
Resident	Queens Park (Controlled Parking Zone U)	Object	<u>Car Club Bay in Sutherland Road</u> - Object on the grounds there is a current Parking Review and putting a Car Club bay there pre-empts the outcome of that review. Also there is no evidence or need for such a bay either there or elsewhere in the vicinity.	
Resident	Regency (Controlling Park Zone Z)	Object	<u>Loading Ban in Castle Street & Stone Street</u> - Object to the proposed changes. Reasons are as follows: 1) A 24 hour 'no loading' restriction is excessive, and will greatly	<u>24 hour Loading Ban</u> –This was requested by a resident and a petition (14 Signatures) from other

		<p>inconvenience residents of that portion of Castle Street. A resident of that portion of Castle Street would be liable to a Penalty Charge Notice for doing something as innocent as stopping outside their front door to unload shopping or luggage at any time of the night - an act which would likely inconvenience no-one and not cause an obstruction at such a late hour. 2) A 24-hour hour no loading restriction would also be pointless because it would be unenforceable over 24 hours, as B&HCC enforcement officers do not patrol after 23:30. 3) The obstruction to the road and the noise and disturbance caused to residents by loading vehicles is in very large part due to COMMERCIAL vehicles, loading during business hours. There are ALREADY loading restrictions in force pertaining to business hours and these are not being enforced.</p>	<p>residents in the area. The reasons are to reduce the disruptive early morning noise and to reduce congestion around this area and facilitate easy egress from commercial traffic on this busy one-way thoroughfare at all times.</p>
--	--	--	---

Resident	South Portslade	Object	<p><u>Single Yellow lines in Albion Street, Portslade</u> - Object to the restrictions on Saturdays and the times. Saturday is a day when most people in the street do not work. The space where the single yellow lines are take away parking space for a few cars. If the restrictions included Saturdays it would mean that people who park their car on the single yellow lines on Friday night and do not work the next day would have to remember to move their car at 8 am, and find another space. Some nearby businesses are open on Saturdays and use Albion Street to park their cars/vans and so do their customers. If they can't use the space where single yellow lines are, it means that they would use other spaces in the street, hence depriving the residents of those spaces. Consequently this would put pressure on neighbouring streets, like St Andrews Road where parking can be a problem as it is. Would also like to object to the time of 6 pm. For people finishing at or about 5 pm, this means that they wouldn't be able to come back home and park in the street, at least not before 6 pm. We think that a more realistic time is 5 pm.</p>	<p><u>Single Yellow lines</u> - The single yellow lines are already on the ground, but there is no signage showing the time restrictions for them. Also the descriptions are being amended on the traffic order. So there will be no new markings only new signage.</p>
----------	-----------------	--------	--	---

Resident	South Portslade	Object	<p><u>Single Yellow lines in Albion Street, Portslade</u> - The yellow lines have been in place for a long time and have never seen anyone disregarding or parking on them during working hours. They are sometimes used at weekends when none of the businesses are operating. The residents of Albion Street have a good relationship with the businesses and it's in everyone's interest to keep it that way. Also aware of the need to keep the street clear so that vehicles can pass freely down it. The system works well as it is. Can see no need to bring in enforcement measures in the form of the time plate signs and would suggest that it is left the way it is at present. This would also mean that the traffic wardens can be used in areas where enforcement of parking restrictions is more necessary.</p>	<p><u>Single Yellow lines</u> - The single yellow lines are already on the ground, but there is no signage showing the time restrictions for them. Also the descriptions are being amended on the traffic order. So there will be no new markings only new signage.</p>
Ward Councillor	South Portslade	Comment	<p><u>Double Yellow lines between No.s 67c & Fire business, St Andrew's Road</u> - Felt to be unnecessary, as that access is hardly ever used and the gate is permanently locked.</p>	<p><u>Double yellow lines</u> – This was requested by a local business as the access is sometimes blocked and they do use their access 24 hours a day. (We could consider reducing the double yellow lines to just cover the access to the fire business)</p>

Item 46 Appendix A

Resident	South Portslade	Object	<u>Double Yellow lines in St Andrew's Road</u> - Object to the proposed double yellow lines. Have serious concerns over the proposal due to the already problematic parking issues in the road. It is sometimes necessary to park two or more streets away and therefore introducing further no parking areas will magnify this problem for all residents in the street and surrounding area. Why is it necessary to put double yellow lines over a disused gateway?	<u>Double yellow lines</u> - One set of the double yellow lines were requested by a local business as the access is sometimes blocked and they do use their access 24 hours a day. (We could consider reducing the double yellow lines to just cover the access to the fire business and not the disused gateway). The other set of double yellow lines were requested by a Ward Councillor to cover the entrance to the car park at the rear of the old police station, where there are 7 garages.
Ward Councillor	South Portslade	Comment	<u>Double yellow line at Junction of Shelldale Crescent/Shelldale Avenue</u> - Prohibiting parking on the corners at Shelldale Crescent could lead to parking problems on the opposite side of the road.	<u>Double yellow lines</u> – This was requested by a resident via Celia Barlow MP as cars park right on the corner of the two roads, subsequently blocking the view for both drivers and pedestrians.
Resident	Westbourne (Controlled Parking Zone R)	Support	<u>Double yellow lines Changed to Shared Parking Bays in Westbourne Place</u> - In favour of the change.	
Resident	Westbourne (Controlled Parking Zone R)	Object	<u>Double yellow lines Changed to Shared Parking Bays in Westbourne Place</u> - Object to the intention to change double yellow lines outside No.43 Westbourne Place. The building aligns to the road without the benefit of a	<u>Shared Parking Bays</u> – This was requested by a resident as there were double yellow lines outside No.43 Westbourne Place, which

			pavement and there is substantial fenestration on the elevation affected, there will be reduction in light to the property, there will be nuisance to the occupier and the risk of danger if not to the structure but certainly to the decorations as a result. The windows are fenestrated to bedrooms and living quarters that need ventilation, with these open the proximity of parking vehicles will cause a nuisance. Anybody trying to leave the vehicle on the passenger side because of the width of the road will open the door on the painted elevation and damage/mark it accordingly.	was previously a garage. These lines could now be converted to shared parking bays as vehicles would not be obstructing an entrance.
Resident	Westbourne (Controlled Parking Zone R)	Support	<u>Relocation of Motorcycle Bay in Westbourne Street</u> - Pleased that notice has been taken.	
Resident	Westbourne (Controlled Parking Zone R)	Object	<u>Car Club Bay in Cowper Street</u> - Object to the proposal on the following grounds: 1) Parking is hard enough as it is without a space being removed for a car club. Considering each car owner has to pay over £100 for the privilege of parking down their own street not happy at the prospect of there being one less bay for public use. 2) The western part of Cowper Street generally has more free spaces in the evening. Has consideration been given to utilising one of these bays instead. 3) Not thrilled at the prospect of strangers coming and going at all hours.	<u>Car Club Bay</u> – This will improve the amenities of the area by enabling residents to enjoy the benefits of car ownership without incurring any of the costs and difficulties. Car Clubs reduce the need for personal transport and contribute towards reducing congestion.
Resident	Westbourne (Controlled Parking Zone R)	Object	<u>Car Club Bay in Cowper Street</u> - Object to the proposed Car Club bay. Already have difficulty finding a space in the street and one less space will exacerbate the problem. In favour of the environmental gains of City Car	<u>Car Club Bay</u> – This will improve the amenities of the area by enabling residents to enjoy the benefits of car ownership without

Item 46 Appendix A

			Club but perhaps this could be relocated to a quieter street for example Montgomery or Byron Street, which often have free spaces.	incurring any of the costs and difficulties. Car Clubs reduce the need for personal transport and contribute towards reducing congestion.
Resident	Wish	Support	<u>Limited Waiting in the Kingsway</u> - Agree with the new proposed limited parking. Think the 2 hour waiting limit is ideal.	
Petition (32 Signatures)	Wish	Object	<u>Limited Waiting in the Kingsway</u> - Object to proposed 2 hours parking restriction for the following reasons: 1) It will affect local businesses. 2) The Kingsway is one of the few places left that you can park for free. 3) Would be detrimental to businesses. 4) 4 hours parking restriction would be more suitable to local area.	<u>Limited Waiting</u> – This was originally requested by a resident with a petition (27 Signatures) in September 2008 and then requested by a local business at the beginning of this year. There have been many motorhomes/carvans parked for months and also numerous business vans, plus several vehicles for sale parked for weeks at a time, so residents and visitors are unable to park. Limited waiting would solve this problem.

Resident	Withdean	Object	<p><u>Double yellow lines at Junction of Matlock Road/Tivoli Road</u> - Formally object to the proposed double yellow line introduction on the corner section of road between Matlock Road and Tivoli Road. Safety is the reason given for the introduction of these double yellow lines and yet in the 5 years there has never been an accident on the road. The real problem is part of a wider Council policy to create a parking problem in the area so that it gives an opportunity to introduce parking permits and paid parking on those roads. About one year ago a parking permit (and pay and display) scheme was introduced to Woodside Avenue and Hampstead Road (by Preston Park railway station) which has been an outright failure. Very few people whether local residents or commuters are parking in those roads but are parking on the surrounding streets that do not cost them any money. Consequently many of the families on Matlock Road and the surrounding streets are now unable to park close to their properties. There has been a noticeable increase in the introduction of unnecessary double yellow lines (adding to the parking problem) in the surrounding streets and also an increase in the number of traffic wardens issuing parking tickets.</p>	<p><u>Double yellow lines</u> – This was requested by a Ward Councillor and a resident as vehicles park on this bend.</p>
----------	----------	--------	--	---

Resident	Withdean	Object	<p><u>Double Yellow lines at Junction of Matlock Road/Tivoli Road</u> – Have noticed the proposal from the Council for double yellow lines and feel compelled to contact with the view to not laying these lines. Parking in the Tivoli, Matlock area is at a premium at the best of times especially since the new parking restrictions in the Preston Park station have been introduced. By laying double yellow lines the resident will be deprived of seven or eight parking spaces, which means that those vehicles will then end up being parked elsewhere causing further misery for the already struggling residents. It maybe that the Council could reconsider its stand point on the amount that commuters have to pay near Preston Park Station. The pay and display is virtually empty most days because they won't pay the amount wanted. If the thought was that these yellow lines were needed for safety reasons, be assured to our knowledge there has never been an accident caused by parking on that side of the road.</p>	<p><u>Double yellow lines</u> – This was requested by a Ward Councillor and a resident as vehicles park on this bend.</p>
Resident	Withdean	Object	<p><u>Double yellow lines at Junction of Matlock Road/Tivoli Road</u> – Formally object to the introduction of double yellow lines on the corner of Matlock and Tivoli Road. The parking problem needs to be solved with a proper residents parking scheme. But the additional issue of excessive speed needs to be addressed by effective traffic calming measures. Simply “clearing” the road through double yellow lines will simply increase speeds.</p>	<p><u>Double yellow lines</u> – This was requested by a Ward Councillor and a resident as vehicles park on this bend.</p>

Resident	Withdean	Object	<p><u>Double yellow lines at Junction of Matlock Road/Tivoli Road</u> - Object to the imposition of the new proposal. Whilst this junction is somewhat difficult for cars to navigate, the existing speed limit and signage is sufficient to ensure the safety of both car drivers and pedestrians. The proposed measures would lead such drivers to believe that they can take the corner at high speeds and therefore increase the risk of injury to pedestrians and residents. The parking situation following the development of Parking Zone A remains very difficult. The proposal to further restrict parking opportunities in the immediate area can only serve to again negatively affect the local population.</p>	<p><u>Double yellow lines</u> – This was requested by a Ward Councillor and a resident as vehicles park on this bend.</p>
----------	----------	--------	--	---

Resident	Withdean	Object	<p><u>Double yellow lines at junction of Matlock Road/Tivoli Road</u> - Object to the proposal. Instead would like the Council to put such plans on hold and undertake a consultation on a much wider review of traffic safety. Many families with children live in these streets and as the gardens tend to be small many children play outside on the pavement. Whilst they should not play on the road they should have the right to play freely on the pavement without cars racing past at high speed and posing a threat at rush hour. The Council should consider the following calming measures: 1) A more modern sign with something like "SLOW DOWN - DANGEROUS BEND". 2) Signs to show Children Playing 3) Vertical signs to remind drivers of the speed limit. 4) Speed bumps.</p> <p>The current proposal for double yellow lines is flawed: 1) To try to address the traffic safety problem, caused by cars speeding, through a parking measure is old fashioned. 2) If the proposal goes ahead then it will allow cars to go even faster. 3) By putting in such long double yellow lines this will end up penalising the residents by reducing the parking spaces.</p>	<p><u>Double yellow lines</u> – This was requested by a Ward Councillor and a resident as vehicles park on this bend.</p>
----------	----------	--------	---	---

Resident	Withdean	Object	<p><u>Double yellow lines at junction of Matlock Road/Tivoli Road</u> - Object to the proposed double yellow lines. Appreciate the Council's argument that this might make it safer for pedestrians, but the extent of the double yellow lines would mean the loss of about 5 parking places. Already beleaguered by an impossible parking situation caused by recent instigation of parking restrictions all around these 2 roads. Fear the problem of double parking will only get worse, thus causing obstructions to motorists and pedestrians alike. There are several families with young children living in these roads and it would be far better to introduce traffic calming devices such as speed bumps. The parking situation in Matlock Road has become untenable where it never used to be before the parking restrictions were introduced in surrounding roads.</p>	<p><u>Double yellow lines</u> – This was requested by a Ward Councillor and a resident as vehicles park on this bend.</p>
----------	----------	--------	--	---

Resident	Withdean	Object	<p><u>Double yellow lines at junction of Matlock Road/Tivoli Road</u> - Object to the proposed double yellow lines. The grounds for objecting are that they will make the roads more and not less dangerous. The proposed lines will eliminate 4 parking spaces in 2 streets where it is always difficult and often impossible to park, because of the introduction of residents parking in nearby streets. Already residents are often forced to double park in order to unload cars when there are no parking spaces. This will obviously get worse and lead to more obstruction in the road than at present. It would make the road more dangerous to introduce any double yellow lines where proposed but if there is a determination to do so then it should only be on the actual corner thus only eliminating 1 parking space. Would suggest that the roads would be safer and the Council would make some much needed money if residents parking were introduced in these roads.</p>	<p><u>Double yellow lines</u> – This was requested by a Ward Councillor and a resident as vehicles park on this bend.</p>
----------	----------	--------	--	---

Resident	Withdean	Object	<p><u>Double yellow lines at junction of Matlock Road/Tivoli Road</u> - Would like to request that the Council re-visit the issue of residents parking in Tivoli Road. When first canvassing residents about the issue of residents parking there were neither double yellow lines along Tivoli Crescent North, nor any parking restrictions in Tivoli Road at all. The proposal to introduce double yellow lines in Tivoli Road , in addition to those now in place in Tivoli Crescent North, places parking pressures on the area, which were simply not there before. Also when consulting residents about the residents parking it was not made clear that the parking restrictions for the zone would be from 8am to 8pm not 12 pm to 2pm to cover the core lunchtime period as in the original Preston Park parking Zone. On this basis the residents were asked to make a decision based on a completely different set of facts and the Council failed to give the true picture.</p>	<p><u>Double yellow lines</u> – This was requested by a Ward Councillor and a resident as vehicles park on this bend.</p>
----------	----------	--------	---	---

Resident	Withdean	Object	<p><u>Double yellow lines at Junction of Matlock Road/Tivoli Road</u> - Object against the plans to paint double yellow lines on the corner in Matlock Road. At no point has there been an accident or incident at this corner. Think that someone has decided that the left hand lane (travelling north) is a little narrow at this point and agree with this, but if they look carefully the white central line hasn't been painted in the centre of the road. There is loads of room to move the line to the right and make this corner a lot smoother. Believe that by yellow lining this corner it will encourage people to take the corner faster then the 40mph some drivers already do. There have been quite a few accidents elsewhere on the road over the years all involving speed. Only last winter five cars were written off due to cars travelling too fast down Maldon or Tivoli hills. Would it not make sense to yellow line those areas to stop this happening again. Double yellow lines on Matlock Road would not be a good idea as there is a massive parking problem at the moment.</p>	<p><u>Double yellow lines</u> – This was requested by a Ward Councillor and a resident as vehicles park on this bend.</p>
Resident	Withdean	Support	<p><u>Double yellow lines and Limited Waiting in The Deneway</u> - Very pleased that you are going ahead with the proposal as it is extremely dangerous.</p>	
Resident	Withdean	Support	<p><u>Double yellow lines and Limited Waiting in The Deneway</u> - In full support of the proposal, the parking on The Deneway has been dangerous for some time and this proposal will ensure it is a safe route once more.</p>	

Resident	Withdean	Support	<u>Double yellow lines and Limited Waiting in The Deneway</u> - Very pleased to read of the proposed parking restrictions for the Deneway. The double yellow lines are very much needed, as the parking in this road obstructs traffic and makes driving onto and off the main road very dangerous.	
Petition (9 Signatures)	Withdean	Object	<u>Double yellow line and Limited Waiting in The Deneway -</u> Resident of the street would like to be given the opportunity to discuss and help with suggestions for the proposed parking restrictions in The Deneway. Well aware of the problems with parking and the obstruction this sometimes causes. The proposed plans are totally unsuitable for the residents of the road and for the people who work there during the day. The limited parking bays are totally inadequate given the number of vehicles in the street. The proposals would force many vehicles to over populate other roads. If unable to park outside homes or businesses where should they park.	<u>Double yellow lines</u> – This was requested by 2 residents and a Ward Councillor as vehicles are not only parking all along the grass verge but are parking on the other side of the road so making it single line traffic which makes it dangerous to pass the parked cars. <u>Limited waiting</u> – This was requested by a Ward Councillor as there is double parking and also on the verges, as there is a busy vets in the road and the lay-bys should be for visitors not for a stationary vehicle.
Resident	Woodingdean	Support	<u>Double yellow lines in Farm Hill - Wholly in favour of these changes.</u>	

Item 46 Appendix A

Ward Councillor	Woodingdean	Object	<u>Double Yellow lines at Junction of Newells Close and Balsdean Road</u> - Received complaints from residents that these proposed yellow lines, if installed, would create a different parking pattern that could well lead to a danger spot for motorists. Cannot possibly carry out works that would increase risks to motorists so suggest that this scheme is put on hold.	<u>Double Yellow lines</u> – This was requested by Ward Councillor as there is a problem with vehicles being parked so close to the junction when exiting Newells Close they are unable to see if any traffic is coming in either direction on Balsdean Road.
Ward Councillor	Woodingdean	Support	<u>Double Yellow lines at Junction of Newells Close and Balsdean Road</u> – Would like this proposal to go ahead.	
Remove from ordered as resident has requested application form to apply for the disabled bay in Sussex Square				
Remove the Mile Oak Road Proposed Disabled bay from the order as resident no longer requires the bay.				